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#### Abstract

In this paper, let $C(I)$ denote the Banach algebra of all continuous complex-valued functions defined on a close interval $I$ in the set of real numbers, R. The functions having derivatives in the Lorch sense on the whole Banach algebra $C(I)$ are considered and they are called L-entire functions [1, 3]. For each L-entire function on $C(I)$, entire complex functions are associated and the relationship between their orders is studied. Even more, the possibility of locating the solutions of the equation $F(f)=0$ from the location of zeros of the associated family of entire functions with $F$ is analyzed too.
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## I. Introduction

Let $I=[a, b]$ be a closed and bounded interval of $\mathbb{R}$. Let $C(I)$ denote the Banach algebra of continuous complex-valued functions defined on $I$, provided with the uniform convergence norm. The element $1_{C(I)} \in C(I)$ is called the unit element and it is the function satisfying $1_{C(I)}(t)=1$ for all $t \in I$.
A function $F: C(I) \rightarrow C(I)$ is said to have derivative in the Lorch sense, $F^{\prime}\left(f_{0}\right)$ at $f_{0}$, if for any $>0$, a $>0$ can be found such that for all $h \in C(I)$ with $\|h\|<\delta$,

$$
\left\|F\left(f_{0}+h\right)-F\left(f_{0}\right)-h F^{\prime}\left(f_{0}\right)\right\| \leq\|h\| \varepsilon .
$$

If $F$ has a derivative throughout a neighborhood of $f_{0}, F$ is said to be a L-analytic function at $f_{0}$ and of course, if $F$ is L -analytic in the whole $C(I)$, it is said L-entire function on $C(I)$, see [3].
If $F$ is a L-entire function on $C(I)$, by Theorem 26.4.1 of [3],
$F(f)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} g_{n} f^{n}, \quad f \in C(I)$,
where $g_{n} \in C(I)$ and $\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|g_{n}\right\|^{\frac{1}{n}}=0$.

[^0]A L-entire function $F$ on $C(I)$ is associated with a family of entire complex functions, $\left\{f_{t}\right\}_{t \in I}$ defined for each $t \in I$ by
$f_{t}(z)=F\left(z 1_{C(I)}\right)(t)$
$=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} g_{n} z^{n}, \quad z \in \mathrm{C}$.

Also, it can be associated with the L-entire function $F$ a function of complex variable, defined by
$g(z)=\int_{a}^{b} F\left(z 1_{C(I)}\right)(t) d t, \quad z \in \mathrm{C}$.

By (1), for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$.,

$$
\begin{gather*}
g(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(\int_{a}^{b} g_{n}(t) d t\right) z^{n}  \tag{4}\\
=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n} \quad z \in \mathrm{C},
\end{gather*}
$$

and for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$
$\left|a_{n}\right|=\left|\int_{a}^{b} g_{n}(t) d t\right| \leq(b-a)\left\|g_{n}\right\|$.

Inequality given in (5) implies that $g$ is an entire function of complex variable.

Now, if $F$ is a L-entire function on $C(I)$, it is possible to find the relationship between the order of F and the orders of the entire functions $f_{t}, t \in I$ and $g$, but all in all, there is not relationship between the orders of the entire functions $f_{t}, t \in I$ and the order on the entire function $g$.

Furthermore, the possibility of locating the solutions of the equation $F(f)=0$ from the location of the zeros of the equation $f_{t}(z)=0$ will be analyzed.

## II. ORder of a L-Entire Function on $C(I)$

The notion of order for an entire complex function has been extended without changes to entire functions defined from $C$, the complex number, onto a Banach space $E$, see [3]. This process can be done in the same way for a L-entire function on $C(I)$, see [1].
Let $F$ be a L-entire function on $C(I)$. For each $r>0$, it makes sense to define the quantity

$$
M(F, r)=\sup _{\|f\| \leq r}\|F(f)\| .
$$

It is said that $F$ has finite order, if there are constants $\mu>0$ and $\delta>0$ such that
$M(F, r)<e^{r^{\mu}}$, if $r>\delta$

The lower bound of these $\mu^{\prime} s$ is called the order of $F$ and it will be denoted by $\rho(F)$.
In [1], it has shown that some relationships which are true for the order of an entire function of complex variable, are still maintained for the order of a L-entire function on $C(I)$, while others relationships are not longer fulfilled.
The next relationships is true and its proof can be found in [2],
$\rho(F)=\underset{r \rightarrow \infty}{\lim \sup } \frac{\ln \ln M(F, r)}{\ln r}$.
Between the order of the L-entire function $F$ and the order of its associated entire function $f_{t}$ given in (2), there is the next relationship.
$\rho\left(f_{t}\right) \leq \rho(F)$,
for each $t \in I$. Indeed, as

$$
\left|f_{t}(z)\right| \leq\left\|F\left(z 1_{C(I)}\right),\right\|
$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$ and all $t \in I$. So,

$$
M\left(f_{t}, r\right) \leq M(F, r) .
$$

and (8) follows from (7).
By the other hand, if $g$ is the entire function given in (4) associated with $F$, the inequality (5) gives

$$
M(g, r) \leq M(F, r) .
$$

Thus, from (7)
$\rho(g) \leq \rho(F)$.
Example 1. The inequality given in (9) can be strict, to see it, it is enough considered the L-entire function

$$
F(f)=\sum g_{n} f^{n}
$$

where $g_{n}(t)=\frac{t}{n!}$ for $t \in[-1,1]$. It is clear that $\rho(F)=1$, while $\rho(g)=0$.

Example 2. The inequalities given in (8) and (9) help to obtain information about the order of a L-entire function in cases where this quantity is impossible or difficult to calculate. For example, let $F$ be the L-entire function on $C([0,1])$,

$$
F(f)=\sum g_{n} f^{n},
$$

with

$$
g_{n}(t)=\frac{n^{3}}{n^{n^{\delta}}} S_{n}(t), t \in[0,1],
$$

where $0<\delta<1$ and

$$
S_{n}(t)=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
6 t\left(\frac{1}{n}-t\right) & t \in\left[0, \frac{1}{n}\right] \\
0 & t \in\left[\frac{1}{n}, 1\right]
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then,

$$
g(z)=\sum \frac{1}{n^{n^{\delta}}} z^{n},
$$

and it is easy to see that $\rho(g)=\infty$. By (9), $\rho(F)=\infty$.
In general, the order of the entire function $f_{t}$ defined in (2), is not related to the order of the entire function $g$ defined in (3). For example, if $F$ is the L-entire function of the example 1 , for all $t \in[-1,1], \rho\left(f_{t}\right)=1$ and $\rho(g)=0$. So,

$$
\rho(g)<\inf _{t \in[-1,1]} \rho\left(f_{t}\right) .
$$

By the other hand, if $F$ is the L-entire function of the example 2, for all $t[0,1], f_{t}$ is a polynomial function with $\rho\left(f_{t}\right)=0$ and $\rho(g)=\infty$. So,

$$
\rho(g)>\sup _{t \in[0,1]} \rho\left(f_{t}\right) .
$$

III. Location and Distribution of the zeros of a L-Entire Function on $C(I)$
Let $D \subset C$ and $z \in \mathbb{C}$. Let

$$
\Omega^{D}=\{h \in C(I): h(I) \subset D\}
$$

and

$$
h_{z}(t)=z, t \in I .
$$

If $z \in D$, then $h_{z} \in \Omega^{D}$.
The sets $\Omega^{D}$, have some properties whose proofs are obtained without difficulty from the functions $h_{z}$, with $z \in D$, such as those listed below.

1. $D$ is a convex set if and only if $\Omega^{D}$ is a convex set.
2. $D$ is a closed set if and only if $\Omega^{D}$ is a closed set.
3. $D$ is a bounded set if and only if $\Omega^{D}$ is a bounded set.
4. $D$ is an open set if and only if $\Omega^{D}$ is an open set.
5. $D$ is a compact set if and only if $\Omega^{D}$ is a compact set.
6. For $D_{1} \subset C$ and $D_{2} \subset C$,

$$
\Omega^{D_{1}} \cap \Omega^{D_{2}}=\Omega^{D_{1} \cap D_{2}} .
$$

In the following result, $f_{t}$ is the entire function of complex variable defined in (2) and $g$ is the entire function of complex variable defined in (3) and (4).

Proposition 1. Let $F$ be a L-entire function on $C(I)$ and $D \subset C$. If $F\left(\Omega^{D}\right) \subset \Omega^{D}$, then $f_{t}(D) \subset D$ for all $t \in I$.
Proof. For $z \in D, h_{z} \in \Omega^{D}$ so $F\left(h_{z}\right) \in \Omega^{D}$. Now for all $t \in I, F\left(h_{z}\right)(t) \in D$, but

$$
\begin{gathered}
F\left(h_{z}\right)(t)=\sum g_{n}(t)\left[h_{z}(t)\right]^{n} \\
=\sum g_{n}(t) z^{n}=f_{t}(z) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Since $z \in D$ is arbitrary, $f_{t}(D) \subset D$.
Generally it cannot enunciate a similar result for the entire function g given in (4). However, under certain conditions over the set $D$, it is possible to enunciate some results involving $g$.

Proposition 2. Let $F$ be a L-entire function on $C(I)$ and let $D$ be a closed and convex subset of C. If $F\left(\Omega^{D}\right) \subset \Omega^{D}$, then $g(D) \subset D$.
Proof. For $z \in D$, then $h_{z} \in \Omega^{D}$. If $t \in I, \quad F\left(h_{z}\right)(t) \in D$. Taking $a=t_{0}<t_{1}<t_{2}<\cdots<t_{n}=b$ a partition of the interval $I=[a, b]$, by the convexity of $D$, for $s_{i} \in\left[t_{i-1}, t_{i}\right]$, $i=1,2, \ldots, n$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(t_{i}-t_{i-1}\right) F\left(h_{z}\right)\left(s_{i}\right) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

is an element of $D$. Since

$$
g(z)=\int_{0}^{1} F\left(z 1_{C(I)}\right)(t) d t=\int_{0}^{1} F\left(h_{z}\right)(t) d t
$$

is the limit of sums of the type (10), together with the fact that $D$ is closed, it is concluded that $g(z) \in D$.

As a consequence of the Proposition 2 and the Schauder's fixed-point theorem, see [5], the following collorary is obtained.

Collorary 3. Let $F$ be a L-entire function on $C(I)$ and let $D$ be a compact and convex subset of C. If $F\left(\Omega^{D}\right) \subset \Omega^{D}$, then the entire function $g$ has a fixed point in $D$.

The following result provide information about the distribution and location of the zeros of a L-entire function on $C(I)$.

Proposition 4. Let $F$ be a L-entire function on $C(I)$ and let $D$ be a subset of $C$. If the zeros of all entire functions $f_{t}, t \in I$, are in $D$, then the zeros of the L-entire function $F$ are in the set $\Omega^{D}$.
Proof. Taking $h \in C(I)$ and supposing $F(h)=0$ but $h \notin \Omega^{D}$, then exist $t_{0} \in I$ such that $h\left(t_{0}\right)=z_{0} \notin D$. But

$$
f_{t_{0}}\left(z_{0}\right)=F\left(z_{0} 1_{C(I)}\right)\left(t_{0}\right)=F(h)\left(t_{0}\right)=0 .
$$

Then $z_{0} \in D$, which contradicts the assumption.
Proposition 5. Let $F$ be a L-entire function on $C(I)$ and $h \in C(I)$ a zero of $F$. Then $h(t)$ is a zero of the entire function $f_{t}, t \in I$.
Proof. For fixed $t \in I$,

$$
f_{t}(h(t))=F\left(h(t) 1_{C(I)}\right)=F(h)(t)=0,
$$

from here, the result is followed.
Proposition 6. Let $F$ be a L-entire function on $C(I)$ and $F(0) \neq 0$. Then $f_{t}(0) \neq 0$ for some $t \in I$.
Proof. Just look that

$$
f_{t}(0)=F\left(0 \cdot 1_{C(I)}\right)=F(0)(t) .
$$

Using the Proposition 5 and 6, it is possible to prove, under certain conditions, that a L-entire function on $C(I)$ of finite order has a finite number of zeros in the closed ball with radius $r$ and center in the origin point.

Denote by $n(r)$ the number of zeros that a L-entire function $F$ has in the closed ball $\{h \in C(I):\|h\| \leq r\}$. It is obvious that

$$
n(r) \geq \sup _{t \in I} n\left(r, f_{t}\right),
$$

where $n\left(r, f_{t}\right)$ is the number of zeros that the entire function $f_{t}$ has in the closed ball $\{z \in C:|z| \leq r\}$.

Proposition 7. Let $F$ be a L-entire function on $C(I)$ and let $\left\{h_{k}\right\}_{k \in \mathrm{~N}}$ be the collection of zeros of $F$. Suppose $F(0) \neq 0$ and $h_{k}(t) \neq h_{l}(t)$ with $k \neq l$ and $t \in I$. Then $F$ cannot have infinitely many zeros in a ball of finite radius.
Proof. Since $F(0) \neq 0$, by Proposition 6, there is $t_{0} \quad I$ such that $f_{t_{0}}(0) \neq 0$. So $f_{t_{0}}$ is an entire function non-identically zero. By Proposition (5), $\left\{h_{k}\left(t_{0}\right)\right\}_{k \in \mathrm{~N}}$ are the zeros of $f_{t_{0}}$ and since $h_{k}(t) \neq h_{l}(t)$ with $k \neq l$ then the zeros of $f_{t_{0}}$ are different.

From here, $n\left(r, f_{t_{0}}\right)=n(r)$ and by Theorem 1.13.2 of [4], the conclusion is followed.

Proposition 8. Let $F$ be a L-entire function on $C(I)$ with $\rho(F)<\infty$. Let $\left\{h_{k}\right\}_{k \in \mathrm{~N}}$ be the collection of zeros of $F$ where each one appears as many times as its multiplicity indicates. Suppose $F(0) \neq 0$ and $h_{k}(t) \neq h_{l}(t)$ with $k \neq l$ and $t \in I$. Then for each $r>0$, the number $n(r)<\infty$.
Proof. Since $F(0) \neq 0$ by Proposition 6, there is $t_{0} \in I$ such that $f_{t_{0}}(0) \neq 0$ and by Proposition (5), $\left\{h_{k}\left(t_{0}\right)\right\}_{k \in \mathrm{~N}}$ are the zeros of $f_{t_{0}}$, and since $h_{k}\left(t_{0}\right) \neq h_{l}\left(t_{0}\right)$ with $k \neq l$, then the zeros of $f_{t_{0}}$, are different.
From here, $n\left(r, f_{t_{0}}\right)=n(r)$ and by Theorem 4.5.1 of [4], the conclusion is followed.
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