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Abstract—Conservation agriculture is promoted as a sustainable agricultural practice in Zambia in the wake of increasing shifts in rainfall patterns along with land degradation. Yet the practice has been partially adopted by most small-scale farmers in spite of the well-established socio-economic benefits. As part of the scientific endeavour to understand the socio-dynamics of conservation agriculture, this paper assesses the farmer response to the emergent agricultural practice under the hypothesis that adoption of the practice as promoted by agricultural development agencies is a pragmatic realization of a disrupted agro-ecological system under the increasing influence of socio-economic and bio-physical factors. Using a combination of principal components analysis and logistic regression, it has been established that there is a positive farmer response to conservation farming in view of the perceived negative effects of climate variability and the high utility derived from engaging in the practice. The most significant factors influencing the farmer response are climate variability and income status of the farmer among other factors including extension service delivery and labour intensity. The analysis leads to a logical conclusion that the probability that a farmer in Manungu agricultural block will adopt conservation farming is 40% if there is poor extension service delivery. It is, therefore, recommended for further research and agricultural development policy that cost-effectiveness should be a central feature of the design of conservation farming technologies for the smallholder farmers in light of the low income status of the farmers; and that pragmatic approaches to extension service delivery should be engaged to ensure successful adoption of conservation farming technologies.
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I. INTRODUCTION

In the context of global environmental change, conservation agriculture (CA) has emerged as a sustainable agricultural practice against a backdrop of low agricultural productivity due to climate variability and environmental degradation. FAO [1] defines CA as an approach to managing agro-ecosystems for improved and sustained productivity, increased profits and food security while preserving and enhancing the resource base and the environment. Haggblade and Tembo [2] gave a historical account of the emergence of conservation agriculture in the United States of America out of ecological and economic hard-ships due to the catastrophic droughts in the 1930s and rising fuel prices during the 1970s. Arslan et al. [3] noted that the agricultural practice has been promoted to smallholder farmers in Sub-Saharan Africa by several international and national organizations to address the problems of soil degradation and low agricultural productivity and realize widespread sustainable agricultural intensification. Mazvimavi et al. [4] highlighted the technical potential of conservation agriculture in increasing productivity by improving water retention capacity, fixing nitrogen and enabling timely planting. The principles guiding the promotion of conservation agriculture were postulated by FAO [5] to include minimum mechanical soil disturbance, permanent organic soil cover and crop rotation. These principles were based on realization of soil degradation thought to be caused by intensive tillage, lack of soil cover and burning of crop residue. Benites [6] and Derpsch [7] earlier identified a major driver of land degradation in intensive soil preparation by hoe or plough combined with removal or burning of crop residues leaving the soil exposed to climatic hazards such as rain, wind and sun.

In this introductory section of the paper, the state of knowledge about conservation agriculture promoted by agricultural development agencies as a climate-smart agricultural practice is reviewed revolving around the perception of conservation farming by the scientific community, development agencies and the farmers as well as the determinants of adoption of the conservation agricultural technologies. Tisdell [8] exposted three dimensions of the concept of conservation agriculture (CA). The first dimension is protection of the natural resources base upon which agricultural productivity depends, while the second dimension is conservation of biodiversity of species on which agriculture depends; and the third dimension is adoption of agricultural practices which help to conserve biodiversity in general. These three conceptual definitions all point to the need to sustain the ecological integrity of an agro-ecosystem. It is in this perspective that Smyth et al. [9] considered conservation agriculture as part of sustainable land management which consisted in integrating socio-economic principles with environmental concerns by combining technologies, policies and activities with a view to simultaneously maintaining or enhancing productivity, reducing production risks, preventing degradation of soil and water quality, and ensuring economic viability and social acceptability.
Several techno-cultural practices of soil and water conservation have been developed and promoted in various parts of the world. Derpsch and Friedrich [10] revealed that Conservation agriculture (CA) systems, particularly minimum and zero tillage systems, had been developed and successfully adopted by farmers in the United States of America, Latin America, Europe and certain parts of South Asia as a means to improving soil conservation, reducing labour and energy needs and ultimately increasing yield levels. In Sub-Saharan Africa (SSA), conservation agriculture is reported for Zambia as consisting in reducing tillage without soil inversion, digging permanent planting basins, ripping the soil, leaving crop residues on the field, rotating cereals with legumes and dry season land preparation [11]. In the Philippines in Asia, Cramb et al. [12] reported that while the conservation farming projects promoted a suite of agroforestry and technologies directed at soil conservation and fertility management, contour hedgerows and contour bunds were the key soil conservation technologies recommended.

The response of farmers to conservation agriculture is measured by the rate of adoption of the practice, and the decision to adopt is a function of several factors including perception. There appears to be a positive correlation between perception of increased climate variability and the use of conservation farming in Zambia, where adoption of CA was, however, reported to be relatively limited and unstable [2]. In the Philippines, it was reported that many of the adopters cited control of soil erosion and increased soil fertility as the main reasons for adoption, while others were merely following recommendations or directives [12]. Morris et al. [13] postulated that farmers were likely to adopt a tillage system that offered them flexibility in a range of cropping and soil conditions, allowing them to establish crops successfully and as economically as possible, while maintaining or improving productivity and profitability. In spite of the overwhelming novelty of conservation agriculture, adoption of the practice among farmers in certain locations is seemingly low. FAO [14] and Dumanski et al. [15] attributed the low momentum for CA in SSA to the traditionally narrow focus of CA on minimum and no-tillage systems. Rockström et al. [16] also observed that there was very limited evidence of yield improvements from conservation farming practices in semi-arid and dry sub-humid smallholder savannah agro-ecosystems. In certain contexts, conservation agriculture may be perceived as a risky new investment with typically no insurance and a time lag of about four years in the accrual of benefits to those engaged in the practice [17] and [18]. Arslan et al. [3] reviewed several scholarly works on the determinants of adoption of CA and identified such factors as labour requirements, agro-ecological constraints on soils, climate and the opportunity cost of incorporating post-harvest crop residues as some of the drivers of adoption of conservation agriculture. Other drivers highlighted include lack of infrastructure, insufficient involvement of farmers in the process, existing livestock management norms, imperfect input and credit markets and land tenure as some of the socio-economic and institutional factors limiting wide-spread adoption of CA in Southern Africa. In view of the foregoing, the farmer response to emergent concepts in agriculture is dependent on the social-cultural and bio-physical settings of the farmers. Studying coffee management adoption in Colombia, Bravo-Monroy et al. [19] noted that it entailed an understanding of social, political, economic, demographic, technological, cultural and biophysical factors that directly or indirectly influence decisions at the agro-ecosystem scale. Other scholars [20] have also emphasized understanding social factors at the farm level in the approach to providing better insight in what processes are important in the decision making process of a management adoption.

Conservation agriculture has been partially adopted by most small scale farmers in Zambia in spite of the known socio-economic benefits of the practice. The farmer response to conservation agriculture has not been widely investigated at a local scale, and this paper is motivated by the realization from literature that rigorous documentation of successes, failures and challenges related to conservation agriculture adaptation and adoption was still rare, especially outside of South America; and that most existing case studies did not rely on a unified systemic analytical framework, and demonstrated a strong bias towards emphasizing what was going well, overlooking process issues and problems encountered.

As part of the scientific endeavour to understand the socio-dynamics of conservation agriculture, this paper assesses the farmer response to the emergent agricultural practice in the Zambian context by determining the farmer response to conservation agriculture in the Manungu agricultural block; identifying the key factors influencing the farmer response; and quantifying the probability that a farmer in Manungu agricultural block will adopt conservation farming. Thus, the paper is organized into five main sections. Following the introduction in which the state of knowledge about the subject is reviewed, the methodology is presented in section II, which is followed by the results and discussion in Sections III and IV respectively. The concluding remarks with recommendations for further research and policy direction are given in Section V.

II. METHODOLOGY

A. Conceptual Framework

This research is founded within the theoretical framework of production of space [21] viewed as a triad of perceived space, conceptualized space and pragmatic space. “Perceived space embraces production modes and the particular locations and spatial sets characteristic of each social formation; conceptualized space is delineated by scientists or planners with knowledge and power; and pragmatic space embodies the daily experiences and routines [19].” Based on production of social space, it is theorized herein that adoption of conservation farming as promoted by agricultural development agencies in Manungu agricultural block, Zambia is a pragmatic realization of a disrupted agro-ecological system under the increasing influence of
socio-economic and bio-physical factors. The conceptual framework for the analysis of the farmer response to CA in Zambia is illustrated in Fig. 1.

![Conceptual framework for analysis of farmer response to CA in Manungu agricultural block in Zambia](image1)

**Fig. 1:** Conceptual framework for analysis of farmer response to CA in Monze, Zambia

**B. Study Area**

The spatial reference for the analysis is the Manungu agricultural block, which is a local area in Zambia. Zambia itself is located within Sub-Saharan Africa (SSA) in the Southern African region. The geographical co-ordinates of the country are latitudes 08° and 18° South of the Equator and between longitudes 21° and 38° East of the Greenwich Meridian. The study area is located within Monze district in the Southern province of Zambia as shown in Fig. 2. The area also occurs within Agro-ecological region II of Zambia, which supports a wide range of agricultural crop and livestock production.

![Map of Zambia](image2)

**Fig. 2.** Map of Zambia (source: www.mapsofworld.com)

**C. Sampling Design and Data Collection**

The sampling design considered appropriate for this case study is multi-stage sampling of the Manungu agricultural block for primary data collection using structured interviews. The agricultural block is made up of six agriculture camps from which two camps are purposively selected for random sampling of the smallholder farmers. A convenient sample size of 100 farmers is drawn from the population of smallholder farmers that are registered with the Department of Agriculture. A list of variables for data collection is presented in Table I.

**Table I: Variables for the analysis of farmer response to conservation agriculture in Monze, Zambia**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Variables</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Farmer response to CA</td>
<td>1) Gender</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Determinants of response</td>
<td>6) Extension service delivery</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**D. Data Analysis**

In line with the research objectives, the methods of data analysis that are considered appropriate include principal components analysis, correlation relation analysis, and regression analysis.

**Principal Components Analysis (PCA)**

Principal components analysis (PCA) is herein used to determine the farmer response to conservation farming in Manungu agricultural block by gender, age, attitude, utility and participation. PCA is defined in mathematical statistics as an orthogonal linear transformation that transforms a set of observations of possibly correlated variables into a set of values of linearly uncorrelated variables called principal components (PCs). The PC is computed to determine the tendency of two random variables to vary together. The covariance is expressed as:

$$\text{cov}(X,Y) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (x_i - \bar{X})(y_i - \bar{Y})$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

Where, $\bar{X}$ is mean (X), and $\bar{Y}$ is mean (Y). PCA seeks a linear combination of variables such that the maximum variance is extracted from the variables. The transformation in PCA is such that the first principal component has the largest possible variance in the data, and each succeeding component in turn has the highest variance possible under the constraint that it is orthogonal to the preceding components.
Correlation Analysis

The Spearman's rank correlation test is used in this research to identify the factors significantly influencing the farmers’ response to conservation farming in Manungu agricultural block. In statistical terms, the Spearman’s correlation coefficient is a nonparametric measure of statistical dependence between two variables. The Spearman’s test statistic, \( r_s \) is given by:

\[
    r_s = 1 - \frac{6 \sum d_i^2}{n(n^2 - 1)}
\]  

(2)

Where, \( d_i \) is the difference between the two ranks of each observation, and \( n \) is the number of observations. The sign of the Spearman correlation indicates the direction of association between the independent variable (X) and the dependent variable (Y). If Y tends to increase when X increases, the Spearman correlation coefficient is positive. If Y tends to decrease when X increases, the Spearman correlation coefficient is negative. A Spearman correlation of zero indicates that there is no tendency for Y to either increase or decrease when X increases. If there are no repeated data values, a perfect Spearman correlation of +1 or −1 occurs when each of the variables is a perfect monotone function of the other.

Regression Analysis

A logit regression model is used in this research to estimate the probability that a farmer in Manungu agricultural block will adopt conservation farming based on the identified determinants. Based on the hypothesized non-linear relationships between the dependent and independent variables, a logistic function is applied to the non-linear relationship using logarithmic transformation. The mathematical specification of the logit regression model is given by:

\[
    \text{logit}(y) = \ln \left( \frac{p}{1 - p} \right) = \alpha + \beta_1 X_1 + \cdots + \beta_k X_k
\]  

(3)

Where, \( p \) is the probability of the interested outcome \( y \), \( x \) is the explanatory variable, \( \alpha \) is the regression coefficient, and \( \beta \) is the intercept. The logit regression model estimates the odds of occurrence or non-occurrence of an event outcome. While a linear regression model uses the R-squared to measure the goodness of fit of the data to the regression line, a pseudo R-squared is used in logit regression. The response variable for the logit regression in this research is participation in CA, which is a dichotomous variable with a “Yes” or “No” binary state. The explanatory variables are the categorical variables including extension service delivery, income, climate variability and labour intensity.

III. RESULTS

A. Farmer Response to CA in Monze

With reference to the first objective of the research aimed at determining the response of farmers to conservation agriculture in the Manungu agricultural block, principal components analysis was applied to the data on gender, age, attitude, utility and participation. The results of the data analysis are presented in Table II.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Principal Component PC1</th>
<th>Principal Component PC2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Attitude</td>
<td>0.727</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utility</td>
<td>0.586</td>
<td>0.485</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>-0.569</td>
<td>0.493</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participation</td>
<td>-0.340</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>-0.816</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

From Table II, the first principal component appears to be strongly correlated with two of the original variables. It can be seen that the first principal component increases with increasing attitude and utility scores suggesting that the two variables vary together. If the attitude score increases, then the utility score tends to increase as well. This component can be viewed as a composite index of farmer response to conservation farming. Furthermore, it can be observed that the first principal component correlates most strongly with attitude based on the correlation of 0.727. The results further indicate that the second principal component increases with gender and utility, but age is negatively correlated with this component. This component can be viewed as a measure of how poor the farmer response is to conservation farming on the basis of age.

B. Determinants of Farmer Response to CA

The Spearman's correlation test was run to assess the relationship between farmer participation in CA and extension service delivery, income status, climate variability and labour intensity of CA among several factors. Based on a sample of 100 farmers, the results of analysis presented in Table III show that there is a positive correlation between farmer participation in CA and extension service delivery even though the correlation is weak. The correlation \( r_s = 0.149 \) is statistically significant \( (p = 0.140) \) against the null hypothesis that there was no significant correlation. The test further yielded a negative correlation between participation in CA and each of the independent variables including income status, climate variability and labour intensity of the practice.
Table III: Determinants of farmer participation in CA in Manungu agricultural block

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dependent variable</th>
<th>Independent variable</th>
<th>Spearman’s correlation coefficient</th>
<th>P-value statistic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Participation in CA</td>
<td>Extension service delivery</td>
<td>0.149</td>
<td>0.140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Income status</td>
<td>0.116</td>
<td>0.267</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Climate variability</td>
<td>-0.091</td>
<td>0.382</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Labour intensity of CA</td>
<td>-0.081</td>
<td>0.422</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

C. Probability of CA Adoption

A logistic regression model is used to estimate the probability of adopting conservation farming in Manungu agricultural block by farmers based on extension service delivery, income status, climate variability and labour intensity of CA among several factors. Thus, a logistic function was applied to the hypothesized non-linear relationships between the dependent and independent variables using logarithmic transformation. The regression statistics are given in Table 5.

Table 5: Logistic regression of farmer participation in CA in Manungu agricultural block

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Explanatory variables</th>
<th>Regression statistics</th>
<th>β</th>
<th>S.E.</th>
<th>Wald</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
<th>Exp(β)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Extension service delivery</td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.626</td>
<td>0.496</td>
<td>1.591</td>
<td>0.207</td>
<td>0.535</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income status</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.453</td>
<td>0.498</td>
<td>0.828</td>
<td>0.363</td>
<td>1.573</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Climate variability</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.461</td>
<td>0.487</td>
<td>0.895</td>
<td>0.344</td>
<td>1.585</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Labour intensity of CA</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.310</td>
<td>0.486</td>
<td>0.407</td>
<td>0.523</td>
<td>1.364</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constant</td>
<td></td>
<td>-1.331</td>
<td>0.619</td>
<td>4.616</td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td>0.264</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based on the computed regression statistics, a mathematical specification of the resultant logit regression model is given by:

\[
P_{CA\text{ Adoption}} = -1.331 - 0.626E + 0.453I + 0.461C + 0.310L \quad (4)
\]

Where, \( P \) is the probability of adopting CA, \( E \) is extension service delivery, \( I \) is income status of the farmer, \( C \) is climate variability and \( L \) is labour intensity of CA. The logit regression model predicts that the probability that a farmer in Manungu agricultural block will adopt conservation farming is 45%, 46% and 30% in view of income status of the farmer, climate variability and labour intensity of CA respectively. The probability that a farmer will not adopt conservation farming is 60% in view of poor extension service delivery.

The regression outputs were validated with the use of the Wald hypothesis test. In the context of logistic regression, the Wald test is used to determine whether a certain predictor variable is significant or not under the null hypothesis of the corresponding coefficient being zero. In this regard, the null hypothesis is rejected in favour of the alternative hypothesis stating that the regression coefficients are not equal to zero.

IV. DISCUSSION

A burgeoning human population is a daunting challenge for the 21st century as the world ponders on how to feed 9 billion people in 2050. This challenge is compounded by global climate change that is substantially disrupting the functionality of various social-ecological systems. In this paper, it has been demonstrated that climate variability, particularly rainfall variability, is a key determinant of farmer adoption of conservation agriculture. In the soil-plant-atmosphere continuum, the water required by the plant is held in the soil and is drawn by the plant using solar energy from the atmosphere. Thus, variability in the atmospheric processes that bring about weather and climate along with changes in agricultural land use influence the availability of water for optimum growth and biological productivity of plants. In view of climate variability, conservation agriculture is aimed at conserving water and soil fertility for optimum plant growth. In the perspective of production of social space, the farmer response to conservation farming is met with a positive attitude based on a social construct of perceived space and the utility derived from the potentially improved agricultural productivity if CA is engaged. This is because the outcome of a distorted interaction between a farmer and an agro-ecosystem on account of climate variability is the inevitable reality of low agricultural productivity which leads to persistent hunger. Along with climate variability, income status of the farmer and labour intensity of CA influenced the probability that a farmer would adopt conservation farming. This is because low income status may constrain a farmer to meet the costs associated CA such as purchase of herbicides. Herbicides are particularly essential to mitigating against the high labour intensity of the weeding operation associated with CA. An interesting result was obtained for the influence of extension service delivery on CA. It was found that a farmer was highly unlikely to adopt CA if there was poor extension service delivery. This speaks directly to the social constructs of conceptual space and pragmatic space. The Agriculture extension service conceptualizes CA as beneficial to sustainable land and water management for agriculture, and the farmer response to the promoted practice depends on a pragmatic mode of delivery of the CA technologies to the farmer.

V. CONCLUSION

This research theorized that adoption of conservation agriculture as promoted by agricultural development agencies in Manungu agricultural block was a pragmatic realization of a disrupted agro-ecological system under the increasing influence of socio-economic and bio-physical factors. The analysis has revealed a correlation between the farmer response to CA and the determinants including extension service delivery, income status, climate variability and labour intensity among several factors. There is a positive farmer response to conservation agriculture in Manungu agricultural block, Monze in view of the perceived negative effects of climate variability and the high utility derived from engaging in the practice. The most significant factors influencing the
farmer response to conservation agriculture in Manungu agricultural block are climate variability and income status of the farmer. Based on these determinants, the probability that a farmer in Manungu agricultural block will adopt conservation farming is 40% if there is poor extension service delivery. In view of these findings, it can be recommended for further research and agricultural development policy that cost-effectiveness should be a central feature of the design of conservation agricultural technologies for the smallholder farmers in light of the low income status of the farmers; and pragmatic approaches to extension service delivery should be engaged to ensure successful adoption of conservation agricultural technologies.
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